## MSIP 5 Summary

*This presentation attempts to summarize information regarding MSIP 5 and compare to MSIP 4 guidelines for local purposes only. We encourage anyone with questions to refer to the complete formulae and calculations in the MSIP 5 Guidance Document available on the DESE website.

## Overview of MSIP 5

- Standard 1- Academic Achievement
- English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies
- Standard 2- Subgroup Achievement
- Super subgroup
- Standard 3-College and Career Readiness
- ACT
- Advanced Coursework
- College and Career Placement
- Standard 4-Attendance
- Standard 5- Graduation Rate


## Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: Measures

MSIP 4

- Status
- Average of 5 years of MPI
- Progress
- Annual
- Rolling Average
- 3 over 2
- Etc.

MSIP 5

- Status
- Average of 3 years of MPI
- Progress
- Rolling Average
- Identification of performance gap taken from a constant
- Growth
- Use Norm Curve Equivalent (NCE) Scores
- Progress made by students from two points in time
- Compare to state growth


## Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: MPI Score

## MSIP 4

- Weighted on performance on MAP for school or LEA
- 6- Below Basic on MAP
- 7- Basic on MAP
- 8- Proficient on MAP
- 9- Advanced on MAP

MSIP 5

- Weighted on performance on MAP for school or LEA
- LEA is only consideration for accreditation purposes
- 1- Below Basic on MAP
- 3- Basic on MAP
- 4- Proficient on MAP
- 5- Advanced on MAP
- Note the 2 pt. gap between Below Basic and Basic to reward districts for moving all students upward.


# Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: Scoring Guide Measures 

## MSIP 4

- Status and Progress
- Met or Not Met
- Based on targets set forth by DESE
- Districts could meet a standard on status (average of MPI over 5 years)
- Status + Progress
- Or Status + Progress + Gap Bonus (Minority of FR student performance compared to the state majority)


## MSIP 5

- Status Achievement
- Exceeds- perform at a level equivalent to the 2020 performance of the top 10 states
- On Target- 75\% of the students are proficient
- Approaching- equivalent of a 300 score or no student is Below Basic
- Floor- Less than 100\% of the students are at least at Basic
- Progress
- Exceeds, On Target, Approaching, or Floor based on improvement within MPI Gap range
- Growth-
- Exceeds, On Target, Floor based on growth that is statistically significantly above state average, at, or statistically significantly below state average


## Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: Standard 2 Subgroup Performance

## MSIP 4

- Data was disaggregated at the building and district level by subgroup (e.g. free and reduced, minority, gender, etc.).
- A student could fall into many different categories (e.g. Hispanic and LEP), so their scores were counted twice.
- Growth was measured against the state majority improvement.


## MSIP 5

- Disaggregated data will still be provided for school improvement; however, a super subgroup will be utilized for accountability.
- Student is entered into the super subgroup pool only once to eliminate duplicity.
- Progress and growth continues to be compared to the state non-super subgroup (e.g. this group typically starts lower so it should grow faster to reduce the achievement gap).


## College and Career Readiness (Hadfield)

## MSIP 4

- ACT - Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the National Average
- Advanced /Career Courses The percentage of students taking these courses
- College/Career Placementpercent of graduates entering college and percent of career education graduates entering the military or employed in a related field at or above state standard

MSIP 5

- ACT, SAT, COMPASS and ASVAB - Number of Students Scoring at or above the State Standard (Please see sheet)
Qualifying score of 3 or higher AP, 4 or higher IB (highest weight), proficient score on TSA, B or greater in a dual enrollment course, AP course, or IB course
- Post Secondary Placement Same as College/Career Placement


## Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: Attendance

## MSIP 4

- Average daily attendance was utilized and set standards for attendance and progress were implemented to rate a district.


## MSIP 5

- Standard is for $90 \%$ of the students to have 90\% attendance or better.
- $90 \%$ and above is considered exceeding standard
- $85 \%$ to $89.9 \%$ is on target
- $80 \%$ to $84.9 \%$ is approaching
- 79.9\% and below is floor


## Comparison MSIP 4 and 5: Graduation

## Rate

## MSIP 4

- Persistence to graduation calculation whereby the number of graduates + the number of cohort dropouts is divided by the number of graduates to give a persistence rate.


## MSIP 5

- Calculated by 4 and 5 year, the district receives credit for the better of the two measures
This method accounts for the starting number of freshman plus transfers in and minus transfers out of the cohort and then dividing the number of graduates by this number.
- A rolling average is used to calculate progress.


## MSIP 4 and 5 Summary

## MSIP 4

- 14 Indicators with the possibility for bonus points on reducing achievement gaps and voluntary assessment areas.
- Grades 3-5 Mathematics
- Grades 3-5 Communication Arts
- Grades 6-8 Mathematics
- Grades 6-8 Communication Arts
- Algebra I EOC
- English II EOC
- ACT
- Advanced Courses
- Career Education Courses
- College Placement
- Career Ed Placement
- Graduation Rate
- Attendance Rate
- Subgroup Achievement


## MSIP 5

- 5 indicators with the possibility of earning additional points from progress and growth.
- Academic Achievement
- Subgroup Achievement
- College and Career Readiness
- Attendance
- Graduation Rate
- Districts and schools may be flagged for risk factors in one or more areas of performance or exemplars in performance

